## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEAL FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT FORM THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

FILED

2015 MAR 31 P 3:56

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT E.D. MICHIGAN-DETROIT

/ grmy	John soul	
/ Creditor/Objector		

V In re Chapter 9
Case No. 13-53846
Magistrate Judge:
Thomas J. Tucker

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, Debtor/

OBJECTION TO NOTICE OF MOTION OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS105(a) AND 502(c) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND BANKRUPTCY RULE 3021, FOR AN ORDER APPROVING RESERVE AMOUNT FOR CERTAIN DISPUTED OR UNLIQUIDATED UNSECURED CLAIMS IN CONNECTION WITH DISTRIBUTIONS TO BE MADE UNDER THE EIGHTH AMENDED PLAN FOR THE ADJUST-MENT OF THE CITY OF DETROIT

NOW COMES the above creditor/objector in this response object to the document disguised as a notice pursuant to Section 105(a) and 502(c) of the bankruptcy code and bankruptcy rule 3021 for an order approving reserve amount for certain disputed or unliquidated unsecured claims in connection with distributions to be made under the Eighth Amended Plan

for the Adjustment of the city of Detroit and for the following reasons:

- 1) The Plan of Adjustment, confirmation of the plan and any thing connected or stemming from the plan of Adjustment was not filed in good faith and has to complied with the bankruptcy code and rules 11 USC 923 governing the notice. There have been numerous objections to method of your way of so called notifying people in the following objection Docket Number: 8306, 8233, 8314, 8428 8310.
- 2) I have not been legally notified personally or publicly and a associate just stumble across it on the internet. We have not been notified and most the people contacted have not received a notice and more time is need to consult legal assistant and advice.
- 3) We object further to this notice also because there never was a legal vote on the plan the only attempt was with counterfeit ballots and violation of the election laws. The plan of adjustment and confirmation of the plan was unconstitutional and deprived and denied us Basic Civil Right 42 USC 1983 and due process of law of the 5th and 14th Amendments of the Constitution of the United States.
- 2) We also objected to the impairment and diminishing the health care and pension benefits that is protected by Article 9 Section 24 Constitution of

the State of Michigan and the 10th Amendment of the Federal Constitution.

- 3) The pension has double from two and half (21/2) billion to four gillion and half to five (41/2) to 5 Billion from 2012 to 2014.
- 4) We never had a hearing and as stated above no notice as well and this violated the Supreme Court Decision a person must have <u>timely</u> and <u>adequate notice</u> detailing the reasons for the notice and an effective opportunity to defend. Goldberg v. Kelly 397 U.S. 254 (1970) citing Armstrong v. Manzo, U.S. 545, 552 (1965).
- 5)We object and disagree with your letter because the so-called Recoupment and it doesn't apply to me or any other retirees and/or pensioners because there no grounds to recoup. The word recoupment means "It is keeping back something which is due because there is an equitable reason to withhold it; and is uniformly applied where a man bring an action for breach of a contract between him and the defendant; and where the latter can show that some stipulation in the same contract was made by the plaintiff, which he has violated, the defendant may, if he choose, instead of suing in his turn, recoupe his damages arising from the breach committed by the plaintiff. Ives v. Van Eppes 22 Wend, N.Y. 156. And see Barber v. Chapin, 28 Vt. 413 Black's Law Dictionary revised 4th Edition. We don't

owe anything and have not agree to pay anything and their is no contract of recoupment.

WHEREFORE and all the above facts and law we Object to this notice Section 105(a), 502(c) and Bankruptcy Rule 3021 and request that this notice be voluntary rescinded, withdrawn or cancel or be declared null and void because of its failure to be presented in good faith and failure to comply with the Bankruptcy Rules and code and contravening due process of the law.

Sincerely submitted			
Name interest party/greditor/objector			
Name interest party/greditor/objector			
Address: 8076 E. Brew fwood			
City and state Dt Mt 48034			
Date 3/31/2015			
Name interest party/creditor/objector			
Address:			
City and state			
Date			

## United States Bankruptcy Court

<u>Eastern</u> Distri	ct Of Michigan			
In re  Set forth here all names including married, maiden, and trade names used by debtor within last 6 years.]  Craftel	) ) ) ) Case No. <u>13-53846</u>			
Address 8076 E. Brentwool  Betweet, Mt 48234	) ) ) Chapter			
Employer's Tax Identification (EIN) No(s). [if any]:	)			
Last four digits of Social Security No(s).: 8995	)) E.D. BAR 3			
NOTICE OF IMOTION TO	1 longection to			
	court to [relief sought in motion or objection].			
Your rights may be affected. You should with your attorney, if you have one in this bankru may wish to consult one.)	read these papers carefully and discuss thempeter case. (If you do not have an attorney, you			
If you do not want the court to [relief sought consider your views on the [motion] [objection], then	in motion or objection], or if you want the court to on or before (date), you or your attorney must:			
[File with the court a written request for a heresponse, an answer, explaining your position	at: United States Dunitaria			
{address of the bankruptcy clerk's o	effice Del roll Muchigan 48 226			
If you mail your {request} {response} to the court will receive it on or before the court will receive it of the court will receive it on or before the court will receive it of the cour	oration, Council Wation Bunding Was award , ray &			
{names and addresses of others to b				
[Attend the hearing scheduled to be held on(date), _(year)_, ata.m./p.m. in Courtroom, United States Bankruptcy Court, {address}.]				
[Other steps required to oppose a motion or				
If you or your attorney do not take these sterelief sought in the motion or objection and may enter	eps, the court may decide that you do not oppose the er an order granting that relief.			
Date: 3/31/2015	Signature: 10 ho Name: 8076 #1. Brent 1000 C Address: 10 t Mt 48274. Entered 04/01/15 10:16:30 Page 5 of 7			
13-53846-tjt Doc 9585 Filed 03/31/15	Entered 04/01/15 10:16:30 Page 5 of 7			

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISON

## HASAN ALEEM AND DOROTHEA HARRIS

v	
In re: CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN AND EMERGENCY MANAGER KEVYN D. ORR	Chapter 9 Case No. 13-53846 Magistrate judge: Thomas J. Tucker
Debtor	S. BARRAN B. F. L. D. HACABARA
PROOF OF SE	ERVICE TROUBLE
deposes and	, being first duly sworn
Say that on March 3 2015. I sent a co	ppy of Notice of motion of the City
of Detroit, pursuant to section 105(a) and	502 (c) of the bankruptcy code
and Rule 3021 for order approving reserve	e amount for certain disputed or
unliquidated unsecured claims in connect	ion with distributions to be made
under the Eighth amended plan of adjustr	nent of the city of Detroit of
Magistrate Judge Thomas J Tucker, upon	the concern parties by certified
mail at the following address:	

City of Detroit Corporation Council First National Building 600 Woodward Ave Detroit, Michigan 48226

We hereby certify that the statement made herein are true and correct to the best of our knowledge and belief, under penalty of perjury and contempt of court under the laws of the United States of America.

Sign Carl Boillians